

Aug. 15 2005

Lee Ward





### Architecture

- MPP machine
- 50,000+ compute nodes at least
  - Maybe 500,000 for a dense implementation
- 1,000+ IO nodes at least
- Memory sizes vary
  - 1 GB on PIM or System on Chip
  - 64 GB for more classic nodes
- High speed network
  - .25 2 μs latency
  - 15 40 GB/s bandwidth
  - Clos or fat-tree



# **Implications**

- Architectural performance disconnects increase
  - Disk is the same old technology
  - CPU-memory is a little worse
  - Network-Storage is a lot worse
    - Storage latency is nearly the same as today
- Light weight operating systems
  - IBM BG and SUNMOS/Puma/Catamount supplanted by Linux
    - Linux real-time support has improved
    - Linux has robust deadline schedulers
  - Device interrupts are still not well tolerated
- Code base is same but application differs



### User Interface

- More naturally supports efficient parallel IO
  - Reference to Tom Ruwart's report on POSIX efforts
- Heavy leverage of single-sided comms in infrastructure software
  - Leased locks are impossible
    - Reliance on the timely reception and action based on callback software architecture is a non-starter



#### The Tri-lab

- Our problems remain the same
  - Energy, shock, stress, flow
  - All requiring the same tightly coupled solutions
- We, and industry, deploy highly integrated file system solutions
  - A common storage system from the desktop to the premier supercomputer
  - With fast store, backup, HSM, and archive serviced
    - But it's young and we'll have operational difficulties



## Enabling R&D Thoughts

- Active disk
  - With sandboxes and well separated and defined protection domains
  - On disk μ-schedulers
  - A standard interface for depositing applets on the disk and ties to the OS for managing same
- A new, persistent, storage technology
  - For the file system journal at least
- MPI middleware w/o collective IO ops
  - Too many interfaces and caveats for efficient exploitation by mortals
  - Can indpendent ops do double duty?

